

ENCOURAGING FACTS about the “New Codes”

A response to Mt. Dale’s “Sermon Codes” justifications entitled:

Deceptive Fables about the Unauthorized Florence Houteff New Code Sermon Addresses

“And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free” John
8:32

Response: By quoting scripture once must recognize the sword cuts both ways. For John 8:32 to apply to the present situation regarding the unauthorized New Code sermon addresses one must tell the truth and shun cunningly devised fables, unauthenticated reports, hearsay, gossip and character attacks all of which this latest Mt. Dale report utilizes as will be demonstrated. Isaiah 8:20 all applies so that we can know who is telling the truth and who is peddling wholesale deception.

(20 FACTS)

(20 LIES, MISAPPLICATIONS, HEARSAY REPORTS, CHARACTER ATTACKS, AND LOGICAL FALACIES COMING FROM MT. DALE’S CHIEF PROPHET OF BAAL AND PEEPING WIZARD ERROL STANFORD)

1. Did you know that what is called the “NEW CODES” were actual sermons that the prophet himself preached to Mt. Carmel congregation, on specific Sabbath days. (They include 10 Symbolic Code # 7 to 13 Symbolic Codes, #'s 11, 12).

Response: Where is the evidence Mt. Dale? Show us please. You have known about this controversy for at least a decade so it’s time to produce some hard evidence. Stop making false statements of “fact” without any proof that Brother Houteff gave sermon addresses that are not based on hearsay reports and the testimony of a proven deceiver Florence Houteff.

(For more detailed research and documentation that call into question of authenticity of the New Code sermons please see our original report and video presentations at the following links: :

[http://whyperish.org/2010/06/should-we-follow-the-new-codes-today/;](http://whyperish.org/2010/06/should-we-follow-the-new-codes-today/)

<http://whyperish.org/2012/01/presentations-of-the-new-codes-teach-only-that-which-is-published/>).

2. These sermons were written verbatim (word for word) by persons designated by bro. Houteff, reviewed by him and placed in his card files. To his credit, Bro. Houteff was well known as a very meticulous person.

Response: Where is the evidence Mt. Dale? Show us these card files with the entire sermon preached by Brother Houteff recorded “word by word”. Without evidence you are liars and deceivers trying to lead the naïve and unread straight into the ditch.

3. Sometimes he wrote these sermons out himself beforehand and then preached them.

Response: Where is the evidence Mt. Dale? Were you there Errol Stanford to observe that Brother Houteff “wrote these sermons out himself beforehand”. Who alive today is witness to such an outrageous claim? Without evidence this claim, this alleged “fact” is pure fiction.

4. A number of these sermons were preached more than once by the prophet and the dates recorded.

Response: Where is the evidence Mt. Dale? The only recorded evidence that Brother Houteff gave certain sermon addresses on more than one occasion is the testimony of Florence Houteff (see 11SC 2:3; 11SC6; 11SC 7; 13SC 1, 2; 13SC 3, 4) and, a proven liar, deceiver, usurper, and enemy of the original SRod message. Only a blinded fool would base their faith on such a highly suspect piece of evidence.

5. Thus the so-called “New Codes” should more accurately (and in all honesty) be called bro. Houteff’s “Sermon Codes” by everyone who loves the truth and would like to avoid misleading others. We must always remember that they are not “new codes” , but truly original (directly from the prophet), since the prophet preached them and had them written before 1955, the year of his death.

Response: This cunning masterpiece is the work of an intellectual charlatan and prophet of baal, Errol Stanford. Redefining the plain meaning of words is a tactic that con artists use to deceive their audiences. In this case, renaming the “New Codes” to the “Sermon Codes” is a rhetorical device used to deceive folks who are easily manipulated by emotions and feelings (rhetoric) and are incapable to reasoning from cause to effect based on the weight of evidence (dialectic) (see: Isa. 1:18, 2 Tim. 2:15). God wants His true servants to be logical thinkers, not bait hunters (2 TG

24:23). The proper and most accurate term or description of the “New Codes”, a term coined by another prophet of baal Don Adair, are the *unauthorized Symbolic Code Sermon Addresses* published by the apostate usurper and number one prophet of baal Florence Houteff.

6. These sermons were put in print very shortly after his death, when the people who heard the sermons were right there as LIVING WITNESSES of their authenticity. Thank God they were not printed for the brethren many years later when the witnesses were removed or dead. Some of the witnesses like Bonny Smith, are still alive today.

Response: This alleged fact is an appeal to the arm of flesh and therefore a curse for those who buy into this nonsense argument. The fallacy of the “living witnesses” has already been exposed in a prior response that Mt. Dale’s chief intellectual wizard circulated via private emails starting in 2016. Here is a link to a blog post that exposed this farce that was publicized at Mt. Dale’s 2018 Session (<http://whyperish.org/2018/08/the-final-death-blow-to-the-unauthorized-new-code-sermons/>).

7. Among all the many brethren who had heard these sermons, there was NOT A SINGLE VOICE protesting their accuracy—NO, not even the enemies of Sis. Houteff. Yes she had detractors who didn’t like or follow her.

Response: This is a logical fallacy. Because the brethren did not detect error and deception on the part of Florence Houteff when they were first published does that prove that these alleged sermons must be true? That is an absurd proposition. Couple this with the fact that there is no evidence that said sermons were ever recorded or authorized by Brother Houteff for publication demonstrates that New Code/Sermon Code defenders are indeed standing on a foundation of sand. By way of comparison when Uriah Smith first published the erroneous doctrine that the number 666 belongs to the papacy and is proven by the title on the pope’s tityra VICARIUS FILLI DIA in the 1881 Edition of Thoughts on Daniel and Revelation does that mean that because no SDA’s including Ellen White rose up to oppose this teaching right away it is all of a sudden truth? (<https://www.ministrymagazine.org/archive/1945/01/thoughts-on-daniel-and-the-revelation>; https://sdapillars.org/uriah_smith.php)

8. What does the prophet mean by “teach only what is published”? Now let us be careful not to be sentence readers. As God has warned us against taking from books “only sentences”? We therefore must be entire book readers, who go with the “weight of evidence”?

“Those who take from books only sentences instead of taking the books entire will never by themselves find the truth, and therefore instead of helping others, others will have to help them. {3SC8-10: 10.2.

Yes, what does this important statement mean?

Does the statement “teach only that which is published” mean that the prophet’s sermons are UNINSPIRED, INVALID OR OF NO VALUE, unless he distributed them in writing? Absolutely not!! That would definitely be extremism.

What does the word “publish” mean?

Now as we study the message, we find at thirteen times when the prophet used a standard DICTIONARY to establish the true meaning of a word. Here are the references: 8SC #1-21:21-5; 1SR 102:1; 1SR 182:1; 1SR 120; 2 SR 112:2; 10TR p. 9:3; 10Tr. 36:2; 11Tr 3:3; 11Tr 10:3; 1Answerer 40:2; 1TG # 9-10, p. 18:3; 4SC #4-9 p. 10.2.8

Now since we are not illiterate, uneducated people, let us follow the FAIR and OBJECTIVE example of God's prophet. In this way we eliminate prejudice and personal, subjective opinions and/or private ideas.

According to the best standard English dictionaries (as for example Miriam Webster), the word “publish” means not only to print, but includes making something known as before an audience or congregation. Thus objectively, in preaching Present Truth, he was also publishing Present Truth to the brethren. Consequently, in its TRUEST and most CONTEXTUAL sense, the statement means teach only what INSPIRATION HAS REVEALED or PUBLICLY PRESENTED--VERIFIABLY BEFORE WITNESSES. God wants us to be clear thinkers and logical reasoners, is it not true?

Response: This redefining the word “publish” according to a worldly dictionary and uninspired human reasoning is the work of an intellectual charlatan. We have previously exposed this blatant deception in a prior document which can be downloaded at the following link (<http://whyperish.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Response-to-Mt.-Dale-New-Codes.pdf>). Only the wise will understand that we should take the meaning of the original authors use of the word “publish” and there are abundant examples from the golden bowl.

9. These sermons are not to be confused with any secret, unpublished/unpresented booklets, like Answerer 6, etc.. WHY? Because, the prophet never publicly presented them. However, UNLIKE the booklets Answerer 6 or 7, etc., (that may or may not exist), the prophet actually PREACHED/PRESENTED/PUBLISHED these SERMONS before his LIVING congregation of Present Truth believers and living witnesses.

Response: This is another logical fallacy. The relation to the origin and existence of Answerer Books Nos. 6 and 7 bears no relevance to the case of the unauthorized Code sermon addresses. There is no recorded evidence on card files, manuscript drafts, collection of existing notes, etc.

that the sermons alleged to have been given by Brother Houteff from the years spanning 1939 to 1944 (see previous studies that document this case: <http://whyperish.org/2010/06/should-we-follow-the-new-codes-today/>; <http://whyperish.org/2012/01/presentations-of-the-new-codes-teach-only-that-which-is-published/>). It is all hearsay. As a strange paradox there is more evidence for the existence for Answerer Books Nos. 6 and 7 in which manuscript galley proofs have been copied and circulated in Davidian circles ever since George Roden brought them forth from the materials that were left over from old Mt. Carmel Center and the Florence Houteff era (1955-62). For some research on the origin and history of the not so secret Answerer Books Nos. 6 and 7 please visit the following link, whyperish.org/2012/05/answerer-book-nos-6-and-7-are-they-part-of-the-rod/2/. Notably, the case against the Answerer Books Nos. 6 and 7 are similar in many ways which confirms both publications are unauthorized additions to the golden bowl.

10. To say that sister Houteff put in her own words in these sermons, is BASELESS, and unworthy of honest candidates for the guileless 144,000. WE have found them to be authentic even as distributed and preserved at Baylor University in Texas which houses Bro. Houteff's original writings.

“...Remember, when you repeat hear-say you most likely are speaking falsehood, either wholly or in part. This you cannot afford to do, for "there shall in no wise enter [the city] anything that. . . maketh a lie" Rev. 21:27... 1TG16 27.4

Response: This “fact” is a charge of falsehood, in other words, a lie. No one has stated that Florence Houteff put her own words into these alleged sermons of Brother Houteff. Since no recorded evidence exists as to what was said in these sermons (see false fact No. 2 above) and no record exists of galley proofs or draft editions where Florence Houteff's editorial remarks can be identified then the only safe and sane conclusion is the no one knows for certain what took place. The misapplication of the reference from 1 TG 16:27 applies squarely on the back of Mt. Dale's chief propagandist. He is the one who is guilty of repeating Davidian hear-say (see: phony facts Nos. 1-4 above).

11. Making some INTRODUCTORY comments and giving the exact dates when the particular sermons were preached by the prophet is NOT putting in her own words. They are distinct and separate, as every honest person can see. Even Ellen White's books have pertinent background notes by the editors.

Response: This alleged “fact” proves nothing. The introductory remarks written by Florence Houteff (11 SC 2:3) prove that she was the one who selected alleged sermons from “from the most complete collection of existing notes on his addresses since 1929.” This brings us back around to the question, where are these collection of notes available for review? Who gave Florence permission to do this? It certainly wasn’t Brother Houteff so she must have done so on her own authority. The comparison to editorial notes in some of Ellen White’s books is out of context. Do you believe the [APPENDIX] notes from TM 475 where the editors of the 1962 revised edition of TM attempt to fool the reader that the Elijah to come is Ellen White referring to her own writings?

12. These sermons were distributed in print to the brethren by the then EXECUTIVE COUNCIL consisting of seven persons, including Sis. Houteff. Thus it is false and misleading to say that Sis Houteff published them. It is ingenuous. Remember that the 144,000 will have no guile or half truths, (half lies) in their mouths. Thus to say that we follow or worship Florence Houteff is WICKED FALSEHOOD--directly from the father of lies.

Response: This is a diversion tactic created by the chief wizard at Mt. Dale. To say the decision to print the unauthorized sermon codes was the result of a group of seven persons rather than the leader of this council is absurd. Who lead this same EC to approve the usurpation of the GADSDA the day after Brother Houteff died and destroyed the office of president to place herself in the vice president and her brother Oliver as secretary of the movement? Must have been Florence because she is the one who did so and fooled the EC to follow along and approve her cold calculated plot (these facts are recorded in the meeting minutes of the EC that took place on Feb. 6, 1955, the day after Brother Houteff). The one who tell lies and half truths about the Florence Codes is Errol Stanford, not faithful Davidians who appose the apostasy and follow the original SRod message. And yes Mt. Dale are followers of Florence Houteff who bow down to her altar of lies by following her corrupted publications and leadership model.

13. These Sermon Codes were distributed by the Executive Council years before the backsliding and apostasy –before the 1959 false prediction. To call the prophet’s sermons, “sis. Houteff’s Codes”, is to repeat falsehood and deceive those who don't know. See Rev. 21:8.

Response: This alleged “fact” is an outright lie. The apostacy of Florence Houteff and her Executive Council started the day after Brother Houteff died. This has been proven in original documents and many lines of evidence of which is available to any honest and sincere searcher for truth (see: <http://whyperish.org/2011/12/part-three-why-has-god-had-to-move-his-storehouse-in-the-past/>; <http://upa7.org/PDFs/Storehouse%20Docs/Calling-144000-Nathaniels-s.pdf>)

14. These sermons have additional information that God and the prophet knew that Present Truth believers need for these last days.

Like the Apostle John and his vision of seven thunders, (See Rev. 10:3-4), if the prophet had been told NOT to preach or write the information in these sermons, the prophet would have obeyed and would neither have preached/published them or recorded them in his card files.

Response: Is Errol Stanford now God's spokesman to tell us what additional information God intended for His people? This is blasphemy. The comparison to vision of the seven thunders is completely out of context to the present discussion. Where is the evidence that Brother Houteff withheld information and then instructed his apostate prophet of baal wife to publish after he died? Pure fiction.

15. It makes, no sense whatsoever to think that the people in Bro. Houteff's time should hear those sermons, but that the sermons should then be kept hidden from PT believers today, we who need these messages EVEN MORE as we approach the end times. Let not the cunning enemy rob us of the knowledge of "things that must shortly come to pass" Shall we?

Response: This alleged "fact" is pure rhetorical psychobabble designed to manipulate the emotions of naïve and poorly read Davidians who are incapable to reasoning and studying for themselves.

16. If there are apparent contradictions in the Sermon Codes, and in the old literature, is that surprising? Why should that be? Are there not apparent contradictions in the BIBLE, IN THE SPIRIT OF PROPHECY, AND THE ROD, even apart from the sermons? Absolutely! Every Bible student can give you examples even in the Rod. Compare for example 2 SR 146.3 with 2TG7::14.1. Also, in tract number 7, speaking of "Unfair Comparisons", the prophet gives numerous such apparent contradictions. Then he himself gives the correct underlying harmonious meaning. Inspiration warns:

"By the method which they have used -- disproving one person's writings by comparison with another's -- any two books of the Bible can be made to contradict each other. Furthermore, the following example will sufficiently demonstrate that not only can the writings of any two persons, though in perfect harmony, be made to clash, but that also the writings of any one person can be made to appear self-contradictory...7 TR. 39

Response: This alleged "fact" is false syllogism. The apparent contradictions between various parts of the Bible, the SOP and the SRod, for example have many illustrations from the SRod message which can with careful study and logical thinking be harmonized. The Rod has many examples that demonstrate how this is done. In contrast, the blatant contradictions between what

is taught in the unauthorized sermon codes are real and cannot be harmonized with what is published in the original SRod message. Mt. Dale and other Florence code defenders have categorically failed to harmonize contradictions on the timing of Jacob's trouble, which trumpet we are in, Assyrian confederacy, Jonah phase of the message, and where did Jesus spent three days and three nights.

17. Consequently, his writings having apparent contradictions is absolutely NO EVIDENCE that the material is not INSPIRED or not by the same author. Let's be clear and logical reasoners. These apparent contradictions are explained in another article.

Response: This alleged "fact" is false. There are no apparent contradictions between the writings of the original SRod message published from 1930 to 1954 by Brother Houteff. The very real and blatant contradictions between the "New Code" sermons and the original SRod message have never been harmonized. Defenders of the unauthorized sermon codes are not logical reasoners but rather are proven bait hunters, emotionalists who are only capable being persuaded by toxic rhetoric. They have no evidence or facts to prove their case.

18. While all the contemporary pioneers and ministers appreciated the prophet's sermons, today, almost 60 years later, one man who has set up his own ASSOCIATION, and RELIABLY (not hearsay) teaches people to do with God's tithes, something other than what God's messengers say, is one who most popularizes doubts about Bro. Houteff's SERMON CODES. Would Satan and his agents of Rome seek to rob God's people of their Inspired treasures? You decide. But let us stick to the truth.

Response: This alleged "fact" is character attack and full of lies. It is not surprising that Mt. Dale resorts to this low-level rhetoric since they have no stand for the truth and there is no light in them. Mt. Dale is incapable of accepting the fact that God has moved His storehouse to locations around the world (7T 140) to print the original SRod literature for thirsting and hungering brethren who have been robbed, deprived, and neglected at the hands of Mt. Dale corrupt and defiled ministers over the past three decades. If you want to know what upa7.org teaches about the proper use and distribution of tithes then go inquire of them (upa5453@gmail.com). For a video presentation on tithing issues for Davidians, please see: <https://youtu.be/8b0cwuB6PYs>). It is Mt. Dale ministers who go around the world field and rob the people of God of their tithes and diverts them back to America to support their greedy dog ministers who are described in Ezekiel chapter 34.

19 Especially does the serpent hate the following additional information preached by the prophet TWICE-- the LATTER-DAY CONFEDERACY. This sermon was presented on September

9, 1939 and AGAIN in June 22, 1940. Why would Inspiration present this subject TWICE, if it did not contain relevant information for God's people at this time? Shall not our blood be upon our own heads, if we allow the enemy to rob of us this information through his sophistries, like he did with Mother Eve? Can we say, "God, we didn't know"? Would our unbelief be accepted by God?

Response: This alleged "fact" is circular reasoning at its worst. If you want to know what the latter-day confederacy is in Isaiah chapter 8 and what God's people are to do about it, then study the original SRod for yourself and stop follow cunningly devised fables coming from the unauthorized New Code sermons and its defenders. It has been amply proven that Davidian's idea of the "Assyrian confederacy" is pure fiction and the truth is so shocking that most who cannot study and reason for themselves are incapable of seeing. Here is the evidences that have been presented in some detail: <http://whyperish.org/2010/09/irs-501c3-state-incorporation-a-trap-for-davidians/>; <http://whyperish.org/religious-liberty-studies/>

20 If we fail to "prove all things," to diligently read and prayerfully study these Inspired sermons for OURSELVES, shall we be innocent if we find ourselves unprepared for the sealing, while we are expecting events and trouble much later? Shall we not let the truth set us free?

Response: This alleged "fact" is pure rhetoric with no basis in fact. Only a prophet of baal would dare to imply that those who study for themselves and prove that the unauthorized sermon codes are not part of the authorized SRod message published by Brother Houteff from 1930 to 1954 are now going to be unprepared to the sealing. It is the Mt. Dale leaders and those who follow them that will be unprepared for the sealing if they continue to hang on the false doctrines found in the unauthorized sermon codes. "*Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!*" – **Isaiah 5:20**

21 Is it pleasing to God to believe SOME of what "the prophets have said?" Would the REBUKE of Jesus apply to us? "Then he said unto them, O FOOLS, and slow of heart to believe ALL that the prophets have spoken:" Luke 24:25. Are we fools in God's eyes, while we think that we are intelligent?

Response: This alleged "fact" is misapplied scripture. Woe unto Mt. Dale for failing to "*Study to shew thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth.*" – **2 Tim. 2:15**. Only fools believe the cunningly devised fables of Florence Houteff and her supporters.

IS IT INTELLIGENT TO QUIBBLE AND DOUBT GOD'S WORD? OR SHOULD WE TAKE THE
"WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE"?

"Satan has ability to suggest doubts and to devise objections to the pointed testimony that God sends, and many think it a virtue, a mark of intelligence in them, to be unbelieving and to question and quibble. Those who desire to doubt will have plenty of room. God does not propose to remove all occasion for unbelief. He gives evidence, which must be carefully investigated with a humble mind and a teachable spirit, and all should decide from the weight of evidence." [Testimonies for the Church 3:255 (1873).] "God gives sufficient evidence for the candid mind to believe; but he who turns from the weight of evidence because there are a few things which he cannot make plain to his finite understanding will be left in the cold, chilling atmosphere of unbelief and questioning doubts, and will make shipwreck of faith." [Testimonies for the Church 4:232, 233 (1876).] – {5T 675.3}h

"...Let us act as Christians, true as steel to God and His holy work; quick to discern the devices of Satan in his hidden, deceptive workings through the children of disobedience." –
"Testimonies to Ministers," p. 275, 276. GCS 23.2