

RESPONSE TO FALSE SO CALLED 'ENCOURAGING FACTS' ABOUT NEW CODES  
**ENCOURAGING FACTS about the "New Codes"**

---

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free" John 8:32

-----

**(20 FACTS)**

1. Did you know that what is called the "NEW CODES" were actual sermons that the prophet himself preached to Mt. Carmel congregation, on specific Sabbath days. (They include 10 Symbolic Code # 7 to 13 Symbolic Codes, #'s 11, and 12).

**Response:** Where is the proof? All the sermons which were preached by brother Houteff were as far as we can assert published on the timely greetings every week and thus the trend he set till his death. As for these "new codes" which were stored for years and years we cannot attest to such claim for they only came through hand of an apostate woman sister Florence Houteff.

2. These sermons were written verbatim (word for word) by persons designated by bro. Houteff, reviewed by him and placed in his card files. To his credit, Bro. Houteff was well known as a very meticulous person.

**Response:** Produce the evidence that these written verbatim stored in card files were actually written under Brother Houteff's authority.

3. Sometimes he wrote these sermons out himself beforehand and then preached them.

**Response:** If he wrote some before he preached then why didn't he publish whilst he was alive?

4. A number of these sermons were preached more than once by the prophet and the dates recorded.

**Response:** All the sermons which Bro Houteff preached from 1946 to 1954 were published on timely Greetings weekly, as for these "unauthorized codes" we have no proof that he preached them and wrote them, for if he did why didn't he put them on Timely Greetings that were written from August 3, 1946 to April 1, 1950? If brother Houteff chose not to publish them up until his death, where is the authority from him that authorizes you to publish them? You have failed to produce such, hence your fallacy is silenced.

5. Thus the so-called “New Codes” should more accurately (and in all honesty) be called bro. Houteff’s “Sermon Codes” by everyone who loves the truth and would like to avoid misleading others. We must always remember that they are not “new codes” , but truly original (directly from the prophet), since the prophet preached them and had them written before 1955, the year of his death.

**Response:** Those who love the truth prove all things and weigh the evidences thereof, these “New codes” have been proven fallible and incongruous, serving only to bring down the established truth in the OSROD MESSAGE and to lead its followers and sympathizers to the devilish kingdom. Stating that they should be called “sermon codes” demands you to provide sufficient proof for your claims, not these fables you have been providing us with. And truth cannot afford to be error, it is not biased, thus those who love your “so called” truth will be coned by your cunning deception but a rational Davidian ought to weigh the matters thereof and not just believe a lie.

6. These sermons were put in print very shortly after his death, when the people who heard the sermons were right there as LIVING WITNESSES of their authenticity. Thank God they were not printed for the brethren many years later when the witnesses were removed or dead. Some of the witnesses like Bonny Smith, are still alive today.

**Response:** Are these LIVING WITNESSES prophets that we can go by their words failing to prove for our own selves like mature Davidians? Are we safe to rely on uninspired arm of flesh? NEVER. Even Ahab had living witnesses a hundreds of them but it didn’t turn their lies to truth, Israel was not safe to go by their WORD without putting them to test. The words of these LIVING WITNESSES and of these new codes have been found wanting in the balances. Are we to obey the voice of MEN, failing to put every wind of doctrine to test for ourselves like mature Davidians, who no longer need to be tossed to and fro like babies? We cannot gamble our salvation in such a manner and expect to march to the pearl gates.

7. Among all the many brethren who had heard these sermons, there was NOT A SINGLE VOICE protesting their accuracy—NO, not even the enemies of Sis. Houteff. Yes she had detractors who didn’t like or follow her.

**Response:** Having no protesters does not prove that one is correct. And how is it possible that whosoever heard these sermons **accurately** remembers the exact words that were preached years ago? This is impossible. This argument is wanting

8. What does the prophet mean by “teach only what is published”? Now let us be careful not to be sentence readers. As God has warned us against taking from books “only sentences”? We therefore must be entire book readers, who go with the “weight of evidence”?

“Those who take from books only sentences instead of taking the books entire will never by themselves find the truth, and therefore instead of helping others, others will have to help them. {3SC8-10: 10.2.

Yes, what does this important statement mean?

Does the statement “teach only that which is published” mean that the prophet’s sermons are UNINSPIRED, INVALID OR OF NO VALUE, unless he distributed them in writing? Absolutely not!! That would definitely be extremism.

What does the word “publish” mean?

Now as we study the message, we find at thirteen times when the prophet used a standard DICTIONARY to establish the true meaning of a word. Here are the references: 8SC #1-21:21-5; 1SR 102:1; 1SR 182:1; 1SR 120; 2 SR 112:2; 10TR p. 9:3; 10Tr. 36:2; 11Tr 3:3; 11Tr 10:3; 1Answerer 40:2; 1TG # 9-10, p. 18:3; 4SC #4-9 p. 10.2.8

Now since we are not illiterate, uneducated people, let us follow the FAIR and OBJECTIVE example of God's prophet. In this way we eliminate prejudice and personal, subjective opinions and/or private ideas.

According to the best standard English dictionaries (as for example Miriam Webster), the word “publish” means not only to print, but includes making something known as before an audience or congregation. Thus objectively, in preaching Present Truth, he was also publishing Present Truth to the brethren. Consequently, in its TRUEST and most CONTEXTUAL sense, the statement means teach only what INSPIRATION HAS REVEALED or PUBLICLY PRESENTED--VERIFIABLY BEFORE WITNESSES. God wants us to be clear thinkers and logical reasoners, is it not true?

**Response:** What does it mean, “teach only what is published”? Both Sister Ellen White and Brother Houteff clearly answer this question, we need not to guess or go to worldly dictionary yet the prophets of God have directly responded to the question.

To get the full address of this matter (teach only what is published) visit [www.whyperish.org](http://www.whyperish.org)

God does not compel men to give up their unbelief. Before them are light and darkness, truth and error. It is for them to decide which they will accept. The human mind is endowed with power to discriminate between right and wrong. God designs that men

shall not decide from impulse, but from **weight of evidence, carefully comparing scripture with scripture.** {DA 458.3}

9. These sermons are not to be confused with any secret, unpublished/unpresented booklets, like Answerer 6, etc.. WHY? Because, the prophet never publicly presented them. However, UNLIKE the booklets Answerer 6 or 7, etc., (that may or may not exist), the prophet actually PREACHED/PRESENTED/PUBLISHED these SERMONS before his LIVING congregation of Present Truth believers and living witnesses.

**Response:** We don't need to be fooled like toddlers or people who have lost their reasoning, try every spirit, put every wind of doctrine to test and see whether it upholds the truth or it only serves to deceive.

10. To say that sister Houteff put in her own words in these sermons, is BASELESS, and unworthy of honest candidates for the guileless 144,000. WE have found them to be authentic even as distributed and preserved at Baylor University in Texas which houses Bro. Houteff's original writings.  
"...Remember, when you repeat hear-say you most likely are speaking falsehood, either wholly or in part. This you cannot afford to do, for "there shall in no wise enter [the city] anything that . . . maketh a lie" Rev. 21:27... 1TG16 27.4

**Response:** These new codes are Sis Florence's own words, this can be seen from the apparent contradictions from what Brother Houteff published

**Jonah phase** *Our message for the present is in two sections, two divisions: (1) the message to the church and (2) the message to Nineveh. In other words, we have the message of Elijah and also the message of Jonah. After Jonah came on shore following his experience in the sea, his next step was to proclaim a message to Nineveh evidently to save it or there would have been no need for Jonah to be sent. At the end of the given forty days Nineveh stood. At this Jonah should have taken the position of rejoicing that he was a saviour of Nineveh. But instead Jonah was sick and wished he was dead..."—*  
**11 SC 8:10-11. Does the OSROD teach that? NO**

Three days and three nights Three days and three nights Had Jesus meant that He would be in the "tomb" for three days and three nights He would not have said "heart of the earth." It therefore shows that contrary to appearances, *Jesus was actually resting in the power of God those three days and three nights, and therefore He was in a good*

*and a safe place.* When Jonah was cast into the sea and swallowed by the fish God had prepared for him it appeared to Jonah to be his end. Likewise in the case of Jesus those last three days and three nights before He was resurrected gave the outward appearance of defeat for His righteous ministry. Nevertheless, Jonah's experience brought salvation to Nineveh, and Christ's experience brought salvation to the world.”—**11 SC No. 8, pp. 5-7.** Read the following quotations to see direct contradiction from what Brother Houteff says, “**2 SR 25, 10 Tract, p. 15, 1 SC 6:7**”

These unauthorized writings have been found directly contradicting the Original SROD message which was brought forth through the prophet of God. Visit for a broader view of this subject <http://whyperish.org/2012/01/presentations-of-the-new-codes-teach-only-that-which-is-published/>

11. Making some INTRODUCTORY comments and giving the exact dates when the particular sermons were preached by the prophet is NOT putting in her own words. They are distinct and separate, as every honest person can see. Even Ellen White's books have pertinent background notes by the editors.

**Response:** This argument has been proven faulty, Sister Florence did put her own words

12. These sermons were distributed in print to the brethren by the then EXECUTIVE COUNCIL consisting of seven persons, including Sis. Houteff. Thus it is false and misleading to say that Sis Houteff published them. It is ingenuous. Remember that the 144,000 will have no guile or half truths, ( half lies) in their mouths. Thus to say that we follow or worship Florence Houteff is WICKED FALSEHOOD--directly from the father of lies.

**Response:** Yes Mt Dale an all those who believe in these unauthorized sermons, WORSHIP AT THE THRONE OF BAAL because new codes has been proved to be the words of Sister Florence.

13. These Sermon Codes were distributed by the Executive Council years before the backsliding and apostasy –before the 1959 false prediction. To call the prophet's sermons, “sis. Houteff's Codes”, is to repeat falsehood and deceive those who don't know. See Rev. 21:8.

**Response:** Who appointed the executive council (EC) and by whose authority did it come to power? The president appoints the EC and the President is appointed by God, since there was no president to appoint the EC the council was to be dissolved.

Read the quotation and the verses thereof:

**The Leviticus of the DSDA page 5, Article 1V (1)(b) (b)** The president shall be called and chosen in accordance with the procedure set forth in Exodus, chapter three, verses ten, fifteen, and sixteen; chapter four, verse seventeen; Ezekiel, chapter three, verse seventeen; and Luke, chapter six, verse thirteen

**Visit** <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IASh4LyKrCM>

14. These sermons have additional information that God and the prophet knew that Present Truth believers need for these last days.

Like the Apostle John and his vision of seven thunders, (See Rev. 10:3-4), if the prophet had been told NOT to preach or write the information in these sermons, the prophet would have obeyed and would neither have preached/published them or recorded them in his card files.

**Response:** This is an invalid argument: if God has additional light for His people He reveals it through His servants the prophet (**Amos 3:7**). Is Sis Houteff a prophet since these “new codes” came through her or are these “knock out blown” GADSDA and sympathizers of her prophets? Obviously NO!

15. It makes, no sense whatsoever to think that the people in Bro. Houteff’s time should hear those sermons, but that the sermons should then be kept hidden from PT believers today, we who need these messages EVEN MORE as we approach the end times. Let not the cunning enemy rob us of the knowledge of “things that must shortly come to pass” Shall we?

**Response:** This argument brought forth is incongruous. Where is the proof that they heard these sermons being preached? Can these living witnesses prove word for word that Brother Houteff preached these sermons? Wake up, we ought not to be driven by hearsay but weigh the evidences thereof.

16. If there are apparent contradictions in the Sermon Codes, and in the old literature, is that surprising? Why should that be? Are there not apparent contradictions in the BIBLE, IN THE SPIRIT OF PROPHECY, AND THE ROD, even apart from the sermons? Absolutely! Every Bible student can give you examples even in the Rod. Compare for example 2 SR 146.3 with 2TG7::14.1. Also, in tract number 7, speaking of “Unfair Comparisons”, the prophet gives

numerous such apparent contradictions. Then he himself gives the correct underlying harmonious meaning. Inspiration warns:

*"By the method which they have used -- disproving one person's writings by comparison with another's -- any two books of the Bible can be made to contradict each other. Furthermore, the following example will sufficiently demonstrate that not only can the writings of any two persons, though in perfect harmony, be made to clash, but that also the writings of any one person can be made to appear self-contradictory...7 TR. 39*

**Response:** You blind leader, how readest thou? 2 SR 146.3 speaks of special resurrection in the time of the 7 last plagues and 2TG 7 p 14.1 speaks of the special resurrection just after Ezekiel 9. If the Rod, the Bible, SOP (EGW) have apparent contradictions then these sources of salvation become undependable and that is utterly false.

17. Consequently, his writings having apparent contradictions is absolutely NO EVIDENCE that the material is not INSPIRED or not by the same author. Let's be clear and logical reasoners. These apparent contradictions are explained in another article.

**Response:** this argument is nullified, the same author cannot build an altar and at the same time digging a pit under it to bring it down, thus the work of the devil and his followers.

18. While all the contemporary pioneers and ministers appreciated the prophet's sermons, today, almost 60 years later, one man who has set up his own ASSOCIATION, and RELIABLY (not hearsay) teaches people to do with God's tithes, something other than what God's messengers say, is one who most popularizes doubts about Bro. Houteff's SERMON CODES. Would Satan and his agents of Rome seek to rob God's people of their Inspired treasures? You decide. But let us stick to the truth.

**Response: Mal 3:10** Bring ye **all the tithes** into the **storehouse**, that there may be **meat in mine house**, and prove me now herewith, saith the LORD of hosts, if I will not open you the windows of heaven, and pour you out a blessing, that [there shall] not [be room] enough [to receive it].

Mt Dale has proven illegible to provide "meat" to the house, people are starving world over and Mt Dale has grossly proven that they are incapable of honoring by failing to supply enough material if they ever did thus disqualified to receive tithes and offerings. All they do as greedy shepherds who only know to milk the sheep and enhance themselves with tithes and offerings which belongs to God, is to rob Davidians the tithes which are supposed to support the work in their local areas by taking them to America without authority from the Rod. Where is the instruction in the Rod that tithes and offerings should be transferred to Mt Dale or America? You harvest where you did not sow

19 Especially does the serpent hate the following additional information preached by the prophet TWICE-- the LATTER-DAY CONFEDERACY. This sermon was presented on September 9, 1939 and AGAIN in June 22, 1940. Why would Inspiration present this subject TWICE, if it did not contain relevant information for God's people at this time? Shall not our blood be upon our own heads, if we allow the enemy to rob of us this information through his sophistries, like he did with Mother Eve? Can we say, "God, we didn't know"? Would our unbelief be accepted by God?

**Response:** the truth that comes from God never and will never contradict itself, your teaching about the LATTER DAY CONFEDERACY is nothing but a death trap to its believers.

20 If we fail to "prove all things," to diligently read and prayerfully study these Inspired sermons for OURSELVES, shall we be innocent if we find ourselves unprepared for the sealing , while we are expecting events and trouble much later? Shall we not let the truth set us free?

**Response:** Prove all things can be loosely used even by the devil and his demons. How does one prove all things, if not in the light of the Bible and SOP including the OSROD. These sermons have been proven faulty serving only to lead the followers into death trap and devilish kingdom

21 Is it pleasing to God to believe SOME of what "the prophets have said?" Would the REBUKE of Jesus apply to us? "Then he said unto them, O FOOLS, and slow of heart to believe ALL that the prophets have spoken:" Luke 24:25. Are we fools in God's eyes, while we think that we are intelligent?

**Response:** this argument does hold water, for Mt Dale and all the sympathizers of Sis Florence have no proof that Brother Houteff actually preached these sermons.

-----

IS IT INTELLIGENT TO QUIBBLE AND DOUBT GOD'S WORD? OR SHOULD WE TAKE THE "WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE"?

"Satan has ability to suggest doubts and to devise objections to the pointed testimony that God sends, and many think it a virtue, a mark of intelligence in them, to be unbelieving and to question and quibble. Those who desire to doubt will have plenty of room. God does not propose to remove all occasion for unbelief. He gives evidence, which must be carefully investigated with a humble mind and a teachable spirit, and all should decide from the weight of



evidence.” [Testimonies for the Church 3:255 (1873).] “God gives sufficient evidence for the candid mind to believe; but he who turns from the weight of evidence because there are a few things which he cannot make plain to his finite understanding will be left in the cold, chilling atmosphere of unbelief and questioning doubts, and will make shipwreck of faith.” [Testimonies for the Church 4:232, 233 (1876).] – {5T 675.3} h

“...Let us act as Christians, true as steel to God and His holy work; quick to discern the devices of Satan in his hidden, deceptive workings through the children of disobedience.” – “Testimonies to Ministers,” p. 275, 276. GCS 23.2